

CHAPTER 12 STORAGE FACILITIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

12.1 INTRODUCTION.....	3
12.1.1 Overview.....	3
12.1.2 Location Considerations	5
12.1.3 Detention and Retention	5
12.1.4 Computer Programs	5
12.2 USES	6
12.2.1 Introduction.....	6
12.2.2 Quality	6
12.2.3 Quantity	6
12.2.4 Objectives	7
12.3 SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS	7
12.4 DESIGN CRITERIA	8
12.4.1 General Criteria.....	8
12.4.2 Release Rate.....	9
12.4.3 Storage Volume	9
12.4.4 Grading and Depth.....	9
12.4.5 Outlet Works.....	10
12.4.6 Location	10
12.5 SAFE DAMS ACT	11
12.5.1 Background.....	11
12.6 GENERAL PROCEDURE.....	11
12.6.1 Data Needs.....	11
12.6.2 Stage-Storage Curve	11
12.6.3 Stage-Discharge Curve	14
12.6.4 Procedure	14
12.7 OUTLET WORK HYDRAULICS	15
12.7.1 Introduction.....	15
12.8 PRELIMINARY DETENTION CALCULATIONS.....	19
12.8.1 Storage Volume	19
12.8.2 Alternative Method.....	19
12.8.3 Peak-Flow Reduction.....	20

12.8.4 Preliminary Basin Dimensions.....	20
12.9 ROUTING CALCULATIONS	20
12.9.1 Procedure	21
12.10 DRY POND (DETENTION BASIN).....	22
12.10.1 Introduction.....	22
12.11 WET POND (EXTENDED DETENTION BASIN)	25
12.11.1 Introduction.....	25
12.12 INFILTRATION CONTROLS.....	28
12.12.1 Introduction.....	28
12.12.2 Infiltration Trench.....	28
12.12.3 Infiltration Basin.....	30
12.12.4 Porous Pavement.....	31
12.12.5 Vegetative Control.....	31
12.13 RETENTION STORAGE FACILITIES	35
12.13.1 Introduction.....	35
12.13.2 Water Budget	35
12.14 CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE CONSIDERATIONS.....	35
12.14.1 General.....	35
12.14.2 Sediment Basins.....	36
12.15 PROTECTIVE TREATMENT.....	36
REFERENCES.....	37

12.1 INTRODUCTION

12.1.1 Overview

Traditional storm drainage systems have been designed to collect and convey storm water runoff as rapidly as possible to a point where it can be discharged. As areas urbanize, this type of design may result in major drainage and flooding problems downstream.

The temporary storage of storm runoff can decrease downstream peak flows and often reduce the cost of the downstream conveyance system. Storage facilities can also improve water quality in downstream receiving waters.



Photo 12.1

Detention storage facilities can range from small ponds contained in roof tops or parking lots to large lakes and reservoirs. This chapter provides general design criteria for sizing detention and retention storage basins as well as procedures for reservoir routing calculations. Whenever possible, measures to improve storm water quality should be incorporated into storage facility design. Specific storm water quality design guidelines are provided in the CDOT Erosion Control and Storm Water Quality Guide (2003).

The objectives for managing storm water quantity with storage facilities are typically based on limiting peak runoff rates to match one or more of the following values:

- Historic rates for specific design conditions (i.e., post-development peak flows equals pre-development peak flows for a particular frequency of occurrence);

- Non-hazardous discharge capacity of the downstream drainage system; and
- A specified value for allowable discharge set by a regulatory jurisdiction.



Photo 12.2



Photo 12.3

Storage facilities are used to store the increases in volume and to reduce discharge rates. Storage facilities also provide for sediment and debris collection which improves downstream water quality. Public health and safety benefits may often occur from storage of storm water runoff.

CDOT is involved in both the design and review of storage facilities. CDOT often designs storage facilities on its projects or in coordination with local municipalities. The department is responsible to review developer's drainage plans to ensure runoff rates are detained to historic rates and that the development causes no adverse impacts to the state highway or the travelling public. Development adjacent to state highways will often increase runoff volumes and rates. Storm water detention is an important means to protect the highway and public.

12.1.2 Location Considerations

The location of storage facilities is very important because it relates to the effectiveness of these facilities to control downstream flooding. Small facilities will only have minimal flood control benefits, and these benefits will quickly diminish as the flood wave travels downstream.

There are two general classifications of storage facility based on its relationship to the storm drain system. In-line storage facilities are facilities which are in-line with the stormwater conveyance system. These can include excess capacity in storm drains, detention and retention ponds. Off-line storage facilities are facilities which are located off-line of the stormwater conveyance system. Off-line storage fills only when a specific flow level is exceeded within the in-line conveyance system and empties when sufficient conveyance becomes available in the downstream system.

Multiple storage facilities located in the same drainage basin will affect the timing of the runoff through the conveyance system, which could decrease or increase flood peaks in different downstream locations. Thus, it is important for the designer to design storage facilities as a drainage structure that both controls runoff from a defined area and interacts with other drainage structures within the drainage basin. Effective stormwater management must be coordinated on a regional or basin-wide planning basis. The CDOT should encourage and participate in such planning.

Storage facilities should be located with particular attention to public safety and community sentiment. Efforts should be made during storage facility design and operation to minimize risks to the public and adjacent properties. Community and neighborhood involvement in the planning of storage facilities is extremely important to promoting public support of a project.

12.1.3 Detention and Retention

Urban storm water storage facilities are often referred to as either detention or retention facilities. The following definitions are used in this chapter:

- Detention facilities are designed to reduce the peak discharge and detain runoff for a short period of time. These facilities are designed to completely drain after the design storm has passed.
- Retention facilities are designed to contain a permanent pool of water, reducing the peak discharge and runoff volumes.

Since most of the design procedures are the same for detention and retention facilities, the term storage facilities will be used in this chapter to include both. If special procedures are needed for detention or retention facilities they will be specified.

12.1.4 Computer Programs

Routing calculations needed to design storage facilities, although not extremely complex, are time consuming and repetitive. To assist with these calculations, there are many available reservoir routing

computer programs. Spreadsheet based computations are acceptable with thorough documentation of the calculation method and equations used.

Some municipalities utilize empirical equations to size detention volumes and release rates for on-site storage facilities at small developments. These methods are acceptable for developments adjacent to the state highway if the total developed area is less than five acres. All storage facilities for developments larger than 100 acres and all storage facilities designed by CDOT or its consultant must be analyzed with reservoir routing techniques. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) detention method is acceptable on CDOT projects or developments adjacent to the state highway as long as a discharge rate which varies with flood stage is used.

When using a computer program, empirical equation or spreadsheet computation, it should be understood that they are design tools only and not a substitute for sound engineering judgement.

12.2 USES

12.2.1 Introduction

The use of storage facilities for stormwater management has increased dramatically in recent years. The benefits of storage facilities can be divided into two major control categories of quality and quantity.

12.2.2 Quality

Control of stormwater quality using storage facilities offers the following potential benefits:

- Decrease downstream channel erosion;
- Control sediment deposition; and
- Improve water quality through:
 - stormwater filtration, and
 - capture of the first flush with detention for 24 h or more.

12.2.3 Quantity

Controlling the quantity of stormwater using storage facilities can provide the following potential benefits:

- Prevention or reduction of peak runoff rate increases caused by urban development,
- Mitigation of downstream drainage capacity problems,
- Recharge of groundwater resources,
- Reduction or elimination of the need for downstream outfall improvements, and
- Maintenance of historic low-flow rates by controlled discharge from storage.

Federal and state regulations have been established which place greater priority on improving the quality of storm water runoff. While this chapter addresses design of storage facilities for reduction of flood volumes and peak discharges, features to enhance water quality can usually be incorporated into detention and retention pond facilities. Design criteria and general guidelines for incorporating water quality features into pond designs are provided in the CDOT Erosion Control and Storm Water Quality Guidelines (2003).

12.2.4 Objectives

The objectives for managing stormwater quantity by storage facilities are typically based on limiting peak runoff rates to match one or more of the following values:

- Historic rates for specific design conditions (i.e., Post-development peak equals pre-development peak for a particular frequency of occurrence);
- Non-hazardous discharge capacity of the downstream drainage system; and
- A specified value for allowable discharge set by a regulatory jurisdiction.

For a watershed without an adequate outfall, the total volume of runoff is critical and retention storage facilities are used to store the increases in volume and to control discharge rates.

12.3 SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS

To provide consistency within this Chapter and throughout this *Manual*, the symbols in Table 12.1 will be used. These symbols were selected because of their wide use in technical publications. In some cases, the same symbol is used in existing publications for more than one definition. Where this occurs in this Chapter, the symbol will be defined in the text or equations.

Table 12.1 Symbols and Definitions

Symbol	Definition	Units
A	Cross sectional or surface area	ft ²
C	Weir coefficient	-
d	Change in elevation	ft
D	Depth of basin or diameter of pipe	ft
f	Infiltration rate	mm/h
g	Acceleration due to gravity	ft/s ²
H	Head on structure	ft
H _c	Height of weir crest above channel bottom	ft
I	Infiltration rate	mm/h
I	Inflow rate	ft ³ /s
L	Length	ft
Q, O	Flow or outflow rate	ft ³ /s
S _a	Surface area	acres
S, V _s	Storage volume	ft ³ , ac-ft
t	Routing time period	s
t _b	Time base on hydrograph	h
T _i	Duration of basin inflow	h
t _p	Time to peak	h
W	Width of basin	ft
z	Side slope factor	-

12.4 DESIGN CRITERIA

12.4.1 General Criteria

Storage may be concentrated in large basin-wide or regional facilities or distributed throughout an urban drainage system. Dispersed or on-site storage may be developed in depressed areas in parking lots, road embankments and freeway interchanges, recreation areas, small lakes, ponds and depressions within urban developments.

The utility of any storage facility depends on the amount of available storage, its location within the system, and its operational characteristics. An analysis of such storage facilities should consist of comparing the design flow at a point or points downstream of the proposed storage site with and without storage.

In addition to the design flow, the effect of larger flows expected to pass through the storage facility should be included in the analysis. For most cases ensuring that the 100-year flood is adequately

accommodated is sufficient, but in critical situations where there is the possibility of loss of life or significant property damage, the probable maximum flood (PMF) should be evaluated.

The design criteria for storage facilities should include:

- Release rate;
- Storage volume;
- Grading and depth limitations;
- Outlet works;
- Location and aesthetics; and
- Provisions to ensure public safety.

12.4.2 Release Rate

Storage facility release rates shall ensure that the 100-year post-developed flood is detained to the 100-year pre-developed peak runoff rate. Where ever possible multistage outlet works shall be provided to ensure that runoff is detained to historic rates for the conventional design frequency of the downstream drainage system. Some municipalities have specific design storms for which developments must design storage facility release rates. The local requirements are typically adhered to if the downstream drainage system capacity will not be exceeded.

Emergency overflow capacity is required to handle flows exceeding the post-development 100-year discharge. Design calculations are required to demonstrate that runoff from the design storms are controlled.

12.4.3 Storage Volume

Storage volume shall be adequate to attenuate the post-development peak discharge rates to pre-developed discharge rates for the 100-year storm and the conventional design frequency of the downstream drainage system.

Routing calculations should be used to demonstrate that the storage volume is adequate. If sedimentation during or after construction causes loss of detention volume, design dimensions shall be restored before completion of the project and through regular maintenance. For detention basins, all detention volume shall be drained within 72 hours to prevent excessive saturation of embankment material.

12.4.4 Grading and Depth

Following is a discussion of the general grading and depth criteria for storage facilities followed by criteria related to detention and retention facilities.

General

Grading is important to ensuring adequate storage volume and an aesthetic appearance is provided in the storage facility. It is critical though, to the safety of people who might live near the facility or utilize the facility for recreational purposes. As a general rule, slopes should be as flat and depths as shallow as site conditions and safety considerations allow. If a person were to fall into the facility, slopes should be flat enough that they can easily climb out. Slope terracing is sometimes effective. Slope stabilization should be with vegetation or other materials which are traversable when wet. The following is a discussion of the general grading and depth criteria for storage facilities:

- The construction of storage facilities usually requires excavation or placement of earthen embankments to obtain sufficient storage volume.
- Vegetated embankments shall be less than 20 feet in height and shall have side slopes no steeper than 3:1 (horizontal to vertical). Slopes flatter than 4:1 are preferred.
- Riprap protected embankments shall be no steeper than 2:1. Geotechnical slope stability analysis is recommended for embankments greater than 10 feet in height and is mandatory for embankment slopes steeper than those given above.
- A minimum freeboard of one foot above the 100-year design storm high water elevation shall be provided for impoundment depths of less than 10 feet.
- Impoundment depths greater than 10 feet are subject to the requirements of the Safe Dams Act unless the facility is excavated to this depth.

Detention Pond Depth and Grading

Detention facilities should be provided only where they are shown to be beneficial by hydrologic, hydraulic, and cost analysis. Areas above the normal high-water elevations of storage facilities should be sloped at a minimum of 5% toward the facilities to allow drainage and to prevent standing water. Careful finish grading is required to avoid creation of upland surface depressions that may retain runoff. Areas within storage facilities should be sloped at a minimum of 2% toward the outlet works to allow drainage and to prevent standing water. A small paved apron should be provided around the outlet works to allow for maintenance access and prevent vegetation from clogging the release structure. A low flow or trickle channel constructed across the facility bottom from the inlet to the outlet is recommended to convey low flows, and prevent standing water conditions.

Retention Pond Depth and Grading

The maximum depth of permanent storage facilities will be determined by site conditions, design constraints, and environmental needs. In general, if the facility provides a permanent pool of water, a depth sufficient to discourage growth of weeds without creating undue potential for anaerobic bottom conditions should be considered. A depth of 6 to 8 feet is generally reasonable unless fishery requirements dictate otherwise. Aeration may be required in permanent pools to prevent anaerobic conditions. Where aquatic habitat is required the Colorado Division of Wildlife should be contacted for site specific criteria relating to such things as depth, habitat, and bottom and shore geometry.

12.4.5 Outlet Works

Outlet works selected for storage facilities typically include a principal spillway and an emergency spillway. Outlet works can take the form of combinations of drop inlets, culvert pipes, overflow weirs, stand pipes and orifices.

Perforated riser pipes are discouraged for use as principal spillways but are well suited for water quality release structures. Curb openings may be used for parking lot storage. The principal spillway is intended to convey the design storm without allowing flow to enter an emergency outlet.

For large storage facilities, selecting a flood magnitude for sizing the emergency outlet should be consistent with the potential threat to downstream life and property if the basin embankment were to fail. The minimum flood to be used to size the emergency outlet is the 100-year flood. The sizing of a particular outlet works shall be based on results of reservoir routing calculations.

12.4.6 Location

In addition to controlling the peak discharge from the outlet works, storage facilities will change the timing of the entire hydrograph. If several storage facilities are located within a particular basin, it is important to determine what effects a particular facility may have on combined hydrographs in downstream locations. For all storage facilities, channel routing calculations shall proceed downstream to a confluence point where the drainage area being analyzed represents 10% of the total drainage area. At this point, the effect of the hydrograph routed through the proposed storage facility on the downstream hydrograph shall be assessed for detrimental effects on downstream areas.

12.5 SAFE DAMS ACT

12.5.1 Background

National responsibility for the promotion and coordination of dam safety lies with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

The State of Colorado has had an active dam safety program since 1881 and has maintained a degree of jurisdiction over the construction and safety of all dams, including federal dams, ever since.

Colorado law provides that a notice of construction is required for all storage structures and that all jurisdictional dams must have plans and specifications approved by the State Engineer prior to construction. A jurisdictional dam is defined as a dam which:

- Impounds water above the elevation of the natural surface of the ground creating a reservoir with a capacity of more than 100 acre-feet; or
- Creates a reservoir with a surface area in excess of 20 acres at the high water line; or
- Exceeds 10 feet in height measured vertically from the elevation of the lowest point of the natural surface of the ground, along the longitudinal centerline of the dam up to the flowline crest of the emergency spillway of the dam.

For reservoirs created by excavation, the vertical height shall be measured from the invert of the outlet works. The State Engineer shall have final authority over determination of the vertical height. If there is any doubt or uncertainty as to whether a dam is jurisdictional or what design requirements are required the State Engineer should be consulted.

Information concerning the construction of a dam or highway work effecting the safety and operation of a reservoir dam is available at the Office of the State Engineer, Colorado Division of Water Resources.

12.6 GENERAL PROCEDURE

12.6.1 Data Needs

The following data will be needed to complete storage design and routing calculations:

- Inflow hydrograph for all selected design storms;
- Stage-storage curve for proposed storage facility (see Figure 12.1 for an example). For large storage volumes (e.g., for reservoirs), use acre-feet otherwise use cubic feet; and
- Stage-discharge curve for all outlet control structures (see Figure 12.2 for an example).

This data is used to route the inflow hydrograph through the storage facility. Different basin and outlet geometries can be analyzed and selected based on the desired outflow hydrograph.

12.6.2 Stage-Storage Curve

A stage-storage curve defines the relationship between the depth of water and storage volume in a reservoir. The data for this type of curve are usually developed using a topographic map or grading plan and the double-end area of a pyramid or prismoidal formulas.

$$V_{1,2} = [(A_1 + A_2)/2]d \quad (12.1)$$

where $V_{1,2}$ = storage volume, ft^3 , between elevations 1 and 2; $A_{1,2}$ = surface area at Elevations 1 and 2, respectively, ft^2 ; D = change in elevation between points 1 and 2, ft.

The frustum of a pyramid is expressed as:

$$V = d/3 [A_1 + (A_1 A_2)^{0.5} + A_2] \quad (12.2)$$

Where V = volume of frustum of a pyramid, ft^3 ; d = change in elevation between points 1 and 2, ft; and $A_{1,2}$ = surface area at elevations 1 and 2 respectively, ft^2 .

The prismoidal formula for trapezoidal basins is expressed as:

$$V = LWD + (L + W) ZD^2 + 4/3 Z^2 D^3 \quad (12.3)$$

Where V = volume of trapezoidal basin, ft^3 ; L = length of basin at base, ft; W = width of basin at base, ft; D = depth of basin, ft; Z = side slope factor, ratio of vertical to horizontal.




Figure 12.1 Example Stage-Storage Curve




Figure 12.2 Example Stage-Discharge Curve

12.6.3 Stage-Discharge Curve

A stage-discharge curve defines the relationship between the depth of water and the discharge or outflow from a storage facility. A typical storage facility has two spillways --principal and emergency. The principal spillway is usually designed with a capacity sufficient to convey the design flood without allowing flow to enter the emergency spillway. A pipe culvert, weir or other appropriate outlet can be used for the principal spillway or outlet. Tailwater influences and structure losses must be considered when developing discharge curves.

The emergency spillway is sized to provide a bypass for floodwater during a flood that exceeds the design capacity of the principal spillway. This spillway should be designed considering the potential threat to downstream life and property if the storage facility were to fail.

The stage-discharge curve should reflect the discharge characteristics of both the principal and emergency spillways.

12.6.4 Procedure

A general procedure for using the above data in the design of storage facilities is presented below:

Step 1

Compute inflow hydrograph for runoff from the design storms using the procedures outlined in Chapter 7-Hydrology. Both pre- and post- development hydrographs are required for the design storms as well as the 100-year storms. The probable maximum flood should also be determined if failure of the storage facility could lead to loss of life or significant damage to downstream property.

Step 2

Perform preliminary calculations to evaluate detention storage requirements for the hydrographs from Step 1.

Step 3

Determine the physical dimensions necessary to hold the estimated volume from Step 2, including freeboard. The maximum storage requirement calculated from Step 2 should be used.

Step 4

Size the outlet structure. The estimated peak stage will occur for the estimated volume from Step 2. The outlet structure should be sized to convey the allowable discharge at this stage.

Step 5

Perform routing calculations using inflow hydrographs from Step 1 to check the preliminary design. If the developed peak discharge from the design storms exceed the historic peak discharges, or if the peak stage varies significantly from the estimated peak stage from Step 4, then revise the estimated volume and return to Step 3.

Step 6

Consider emergency overflow from runoff due to the 100-year post-development storm and established freeboard requirements.

Step 7

Evaluate the downstream effects of detention outflow to ensure that the routed hydrograph will not cause downstream flooding problems or property damage.

Step 8

Evaluate the control structure outlet velocity and provide channel and bank stabilization if the velocity will cause erosion problems downstream.

This procedure involves iterative reservoir routing calculations to obtain final facility configuration and design of outlet works.

12.7 OUTLET WORK HYDRAULICS**12.7.1 Introduction**

Different structures are used at the outlet of detention facilities. Various weirs, orifices, grated inlet structures and culvert pipes are used as spillways. The principal spillway is usually designed with a capacity sufficient to convey the design flood without allowing flow to enter the emergency spillway.

An emergency spillway is required on all detention ponds. The emergency spillway is sized to provide conveyance for floodwater during a flood that exceeds the design capacity of the principal spillway. The emergency spillway should minimize damage to storage facility embankment and downstream facilities during flooding. This spillway should be designed taking into account the potential threat to downstream life and property if the storage facility were to fail.

The principal spillway can be an orifice, sharp crested weir or a culvert pipe.

Orifice

The orifice may be a small plate used to reduce the opening into a culvert or inlet. Flow through an orifice can be determined using the basic orifice equation:

$$Q = C_d A [2g (h + k d/2)]^{0.5} \quad (12.4)$$

where Q = discharge through the outlet, cfs; C_d = discharge coefficient for the orifice; A = area of the orifice opening, ft²; g = acceleration due to gravity, ft/s²; h = water depth above the invert of the orifice, ft; k = -1.0 for vertical and +1.0 for horizontal orientation; d = diameter (or its equivalent) of the orifice, ft. Equation 12.4 is limited to conditions where the water depth above the orifice invert (h) is greater than twice the equivalent orifice diameter.

Pipes smaller than 12 inches may be analyzed as a submerged orifice if H/D is greater than 1.5 Where D = diameter of pipe, ft; and H = head on pipe, from the center of pipe to the water surface. For square-edged entrance conditions:

$$Q = 0.6A(2gH)^{0.5} \quad (12.5)$$

Weirs

Weirs are also used as outlet structures. Sharp crested weirs are sometimes used as principal spillways and broad crested weirs are frequently used as emergency spillways. Orifice openings will operate as sharp crested weirs under shallow water conditions. There are several different equations for determining flow rates through weir structures depending on weir shape and downstream flow conditions. Discussion of these equations and their applications can be found in the AASHTO Model Drainage Manual (AASHTO, 1991) or other hydraulics texts.

Discussion of culvert hydraulics is provided in Chapter 9 - Culverts, of this manual. Information on discharge characteristics of grated inlets is provided in Hydraulic Engineering Circular 12 (FHWA, 1984) or Chapter 13 - Urban Drainage.

Sharp-Crested Weirs

A sharp-crested weir with no end contractions is illustrated in Figure 12.3. The discharge equation for this configuration is (3):

$$Q = [(1.805 + 0.221(H/H_c)] LH^{1.5} \quad (12.6)$$

Where Q = discharge, ft^3/s ; H = head above weir crest excluding velocity head, ft; H_c = height of weir crest above channel bottom, ft; L = horizontal weir length, ft.

A sharp-crested weir with two end contractions is illustrated in Figures 12.4 and 12.5. The discharge equation for this configuration is (3):

$$Q = [(1.805 + 0.221(H/H_c)] (L - 0.2H) H^{1.5} \quad (12.7)$$

A sharp-crested weir will be affected by submergence where the tailwater rises above the weir-crest elevation. The result will be that the discharge over the weir will be reduced. The discharge equation for a sharp-crested submerged weir is (1):

$$Q_s = Q_f(1 - (H_2/H_1)^{1.5})^{0.385} \quad (12.8)$$

Where Q_s = submergence flow, ft^3/s ; Q_f = free flow, ft^3/s ; H_1 = upstream head above crest, ft; and H_2 = downstream head above crest, ft

Broad-Crested Weirs

The equation generally used for the broad-crested weir is (1):

$$Q = CLH^{1.5} \quad (12.9)$$

where: Q = discharge, ft^3/s ; C = broad-crested weir coefficient; L = broad-crested weir length, ft; and H = head above weir crest, ft.

If the upstream edge of a broad-crested weir is so rounded as to prevent contraction and if the slope of the crest is as great as the loss of head due to friction, flow will pass through critical depth at the weir crest; this gives the maximum C value of 1.704. For sharp corners on the broad-crested weir, a minimum C value of 1.435 should be used. Additional information on C values as a function of weir crest breadth and head is given in Table 12-3.

V-Notch Weirs

The discharge through a V-notch weir can be calculated from the following equation (1):

$$Q = 1.38 \tan(\theta/2)H^{2.5} \quad (12.10)$$

where: Q = discharge, ft^3/s ; θ = angle of V-notch, degrees; H = head on apex of notch, ft

Proportional Weirs

Although more complex to design and construct, a proportional weir may significantly reduce the required storage volume for a given site. The proportional weir is distinguished from other control devices by having a linear head-discharge relationship achieved by allowing the discharge area to vary nonlinearly with head. Design equations for proportional weirs are (10):

$$Q = 2.74 a^{0.5} b(H - a/3) \tag{12.11}$$

$$x/b = 1 - (1/3.17) (\arctan (y/a)^{0.5}) \tag{12.12}$$

where: Q = discharge, ft³/s; Dimensions a, b, H, x and y are shown in Figure 12.6.




Figure 12.3. Sharp-Crested Weir
(No End Contractions)




Figure 12.4 Sharp-Crested Weir
(Two End Contractions)




Figure 12.5 Sharp-Crested Weir and Head

Table 12.3. Broad-Crested Weir Coefficient C Values as a Function of Weir Crest Breadth and Head.

Source: Reference (1).

Measured Head, H^1 (ft)	Breadth of the Crest of Weir (ft)										
	0.5	0.8	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	5.0	10.0	15.0
0.2	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.9
0.4	5.3	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.9
0.6	5.6	5.2	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
0.8	6.0	5.5	5.2	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8
1.0	6.0	5.7	5.4	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8
1.2	6.0	5.8	5.6	5.2	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8
1.4	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.3	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
1.6	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.5	5.2	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
1.8	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.5	5.2	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
2.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.5	5.2	5.0	4.1	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
2.5	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.9	5.5	5.2	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.5	5.3	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
3.5	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.4	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.8
4.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.5	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.8
4.5	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.2	5.0	4.8	4.8
5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.5	5.1	4.8	4.8
5.5	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.2	4.8	4.8

¹Measured at least $2.5H$ upstream of the weir.




Figure 12.6 Proportional Weir Dimensions

12.8 PRELIMINARY DETENTION CALCULATIONS

12.8.1 Storage Volume

A preliminary estimate of the storage volume required for peak-flow attenuation may be obtained from a simplified design procedure that replaces the actual inflow and outflow hydrographs with the standard triangular shapes shown in Figure 12.7.




Figure 12.7. Triangular Shaped Hydrographs (For Preliminary Estimate of Required Storage Volume).

The required storage volume may be estimated from the area above the outflow hydrograph and inside the inflow hydrograph, expressed as:

$$V_s = 0.5T_i(Q_i - Q_o) \quad (12.13)$$

where: V_s = storage volume estimate, ft^3 ; Q_i = peak inflow rate, ft^3/s ; Q_o = peak outflow rate, ft^3/s ; T_i = duration of basin inflow, s.

Any consistent units may be used for Equation 12.13.

12.8.2 Alternative Method

An alternative preliminary estimate of the storage volume required for a specified peak-flow reduction can be obtained by the following regression equation procedure (17):

1. Determine input data, including the allowable peak outflow rate, Q_o , the peak flow rate of the inflow hydrograph, Q_i , the time base of the inflow hydrograph, t_b , and the time to peak of the inflow hydrograph, t_p .

2. Calculate a preliminary estimate of the ratio V_s/V_r using the input data from Step 1 and the following equation:

$$V_s/V_r = [1.291(1 - Q_o/Q_i)^{0.753}]/[(t_b/t_p)^{0.411}] \quad (12.14)$$

Where: V_s = volume of storage, ft^3 ; V_r = volume of runoff, ft^3 ; Q_o = outflow peak flow, ft^3/s ;

Q_i = inflow peak flow, ft^3/s ; t_b = time base of the inflow hydrograph, h (Determined as the time from the beginning of rise to a point on the recession limb where the flow is 5% of the peak.); t_p = time to peak of the inflow hydrograph, h.

3. Multiply the peak-flow rate of the inflow hydrograph, Q_i , times the potential peak-flow reduction calculated in Step 2 to obtain the estimated peak outflow rate, Q_o , for the selected storage volume.

12.8.3 Peak-Flow Reduction

A preliminary estimate of the potential peak-flow reduction for a selected storage volume can be obtained by the following procedure:

1. Determine the following:

- Volume of runoff, V_r
- Peak-flow rate of the inflow hydrograph, Q_i
- Time base of the inflow hydrograph, t_b
- Time to peak of the inflow hydrograph, t_p
- Storage volume, V_s

2. Calculate a preliminary estimate of the potential peak-flow reduction for the selected storage volume using the following equation (17):

$$Q_o/Q_i = 1 - 0.712(V_s/V_r)^{1.328}(t_b/t_p)^{0.546} \quad (12.15)$$

where: Q_o = outflow peak flow, ft^3/s ; Q_i = inflow peak flow, ft^3/s ; V_s = volume of storage, ft^3 ; V_r = volume of runoff, ft^3 ; t_b = time base of the inflow hydrograph, h (Determined as the time from the beginning of rise to a point on the recession limb where the flow is 5% of the peak.); t_p = time to peak of the inflow hydrograph, h.

3. Multiply the peak-flow rate of the inflow hydrograph, Q_i , times the potential peak-flow reduction calculated from Step 2 to obtain the estimated peak outflow rate, Q_o , for the selected storage volume.

12.8.4 Preliminary Basin Dimensions

- Plot the control structure location on a contour map.
- Select a desired depth of ponding for the design storm.
- Divide the estimated storage volume needed by the desired depth to obtain the surface area required of the reservoir.
- Based on site conditions and contours, estimate the geometric shape(s) required to provide the estimated reservoir surface area.

12.9 ROUTING CALCULATIONS

The following procedure is used to perform routing through a reservoir or storage facility (Puls Method of storage routing (15)):

12.9.1 Procedure

The following general procedure, is used to perform routing through a reservoir or storage facility: (Puls Method of storage routing is illustrated below but other methods of reservoir routing are acceptable)

Step 1

Develop an inflow hydrograph, stage-discharge curve and stage-storage curve for the proposed storage facility. An example stage-storage curve is shown in Figure 12.8, and a stage-discharge curve is shown in Figure 12.9.

Step 2

Select a routing time period, Δt , to provide at least five points on the rising limb of the inflow hydrograph ($t < T_c/5$).

Step 3

Use the storage-discharge data from Step 1 to develop storage characteristic curves that provide values of $S \pm (O/2)t$ versus stage. An example tabulation of storage characteristics curve data is shown in Table 12.4.

Table 12.4 Storage Characteristics

(1) Stage (ft) $L_{\text{in}}=L_{\text{out}}$	(2) Storage ¹ (ac-ft)	(3) Discharge ² (cfs)	(4) Discharge (ac-ft/hr)	(5) $S-(O/2)\Delta t$ (ac-ft)	(6) $S+(O/2)\Delta t$ (ac-ft)
--	--	--	--------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

¹ Obtained from the Stage-Storage Curve.

² Obtained from the Stage-Discharge Curve.

Step 4

For a given time interval, I_1 and I_2 are known. Given the depth of storage or stage, H_1 , at the beginning of that time interval, $S_1 - (O_1/2)\Delta t$ can be determined from the appropriate storage characteristics curve (Figure 12.10).

Step 5

Determine the value of $S_2 + (O_2/2)\Delta t$ from the following equation:

$$S_2 + (O_2/2)\Delta t = [S_1 - (O_1/2)\Delta t] + [(I_1 + I_2)/2]\Delta t \tag{12.16}$$

where: S_2 = storage volume at Time 2, ft^3 ; O_2 = outflow rate at Time 2, ft^3/s ; Δt = routing time period, s; S_1 = storage volume at Time 1, ft^3 ; O_1 = outflow rate at Time 1, ft^3/s ; I_1 = inflow rate at Time 1, ft^3/s ; I_2 = inflow rate at Time 2, ft^3/s . Other consistent units are equally appropriate.

Step 6

Enter the storage characteristic curve at the calculated value of $S_2 + (O_2/2)\Delta t$ determined in Step 5 and read a new depth of water, H_2 .

Step 7

Determine the value of O_2 , which corresponds to a stage of H_2 determined in Step 6, using the stage-discharge curve.

Step 8

Repeat Steps 1 through 7 by setting new values of I_1 , O_1 , S_1 and H_1 equal to the previous I_2 , O_2 , S_2 and H_2 and using a new I_2 value. This process is continued until the entire inflow hydrograph has been routed through the storage basin.

12.10 DRY POND (DETENTION BASIN)

12.10.1 Introduction

Detention basins are depressed areas that store runoff during wet weather and are dry the remainder of the time. They are very popular because of their comparatively low cost, few design limitations, ability to serve large and small watersheds, and ability to be incorporated into other uses (e.g., recreational areas). Table 12.10 summarizes considerations for a dry pond.

Detailed design procedures can be found in the Denver Urban Drainage and Flood Control District's Design Manual or the AASHTO MDM (2003).

Table 12.10 Summary of Considerations for a Dry Pond

Quality	Detain WQV for 30 h (minimum 3 inch orifice).
Quantity	Control 2- and 10-yr peak flows and maintain non-erosive velocity.
Shape	3:1 length-to-width ratio; wedge shaped (wider at outlet).
Maintenance	Inspect once a year, preferably during wet weather, mow as required (at least twice a year); remove sediment (every 5 to 10 years).
Other Considerations	Side slopes provide easy maintenance access (1V:3H); 2% bottom slope to prevent ponding; sediment forebay to reduce maintenance safety requirements (depth and perimeter ledges).
Pollutant Removal	Moderate.




Figure 12.-12 — Concrete Riser




Figure 12.13 Antivortex Plate and Trash Rack (4)




Figure 12.14 Dry Pond (after Reference (11))

12.11 WET POND (EXTENDED DETENTION BASIN)


12.11.1 Introduction

A wet pond is very similar to a dry detention basin in that it detains stormwater, but it is different in that it maintains a permanent pool during dry weather. Wet ponds are usually more expensive than dry detention basins and usually serve large watersheds. Because of their permanent pool, they may also have recreational benefits. Table 12.11 summarizes considerations for a wet pond.

Detailed design procedures can be found in the Denver Urban Drainage and Flood Control District's Design Manual or the AASHTO MDM (2003).

Table 12.11 Summary of Considerations for a Wet Pond

Quality	Permanent pool volume is 3 times the WQV.
Quantity	Control 2- and 10-yr peak flows.
Shape	3:1 length-to-width ratio; wedge shaped (wider at outlet); permanent pool depth from 5 ft - 10 ft; perimeter ledges.
Maintenance	Inspect once a year, preferably during wet weather; mow at least twice a year; remove sediment every 5 to 10 years.
Safety	Fence around pond; provide shallow 2 ft deep safety ledge around pond; post signs.
Other considerations	Side slopes provide easy maintenance access 1V:3H; perimeter vegetation; sediment forebay; provide valve to drain pond for maintenance.
Pollutant Removal	Moderate to high.



■ SIDE SLOPES OF 1V:3H FOR EXCAVATED BASINS OR CONTAINMENT BERMS.

Figure 12.15 Methods of Increasing the Length-to-Width Ratio (after Reference 11)




Figure 12.16 Wet Pond (after Reference 11)

12.12 INFILTRATION CONTROLS

12.12.1 Introduction

Infiltration controls are best management practices (BMPs) where the primary discharge of stormwater is to the groundwater table. These include infiltration trenches, infiltration basins and porous pavement. In some cases, the stormwater is intercepted after it has infiltrated a few feet by an underdrain and is discharged to a storm sewer or surface water. One of the primary concerns with the use of infiltration BMPs is the risk of groundwater contamination. This is why there should be at least 5 ft between the bottom of the facility to the seasonable high-water table and 5 ft to the underlying bedrock. Another factor is the residence time in the facility. Sources recommend that the first-flush stormwater be infiltrated within 24 h to 72 h. The infiltration rate is directly related to the soil type and disposition. A soil investigation should be performed at all facility locations prior to construction. Table 12.12 provides some considerations in evaluating an infiltration control.

Table 12.12 Summary of Considerations for an Infiltration Facility

Quality	Infiltrate WQV within 48 h; minimum residence time of 24 h.
Quantity	Control 2- and 10-yr peak flows (could lead to a large expensive facility; could be used with detention pond to control quantity).
Shape	Dependent on site constraints.
Maintenance	Inspect once a year preferably during wet weather; mow area twice a year; remove sediment every 5 to 10 years.
Other Considerations	Filter strip to remove sediments 2% to 5% slope with minimum 20 ft length; infiltration rate minimum 1 in/h; depth to ground-water and bedrock 5 ft; effects of facility on quality of groundwater.
Pollutant Removal	Moderate to high.

12.12.2 Infiltration Trench

An infiltration trench (see Figure 12.17) is a facility where a trench is excavated and then filled with a porous medium. Stormwater is stored in the voids of the fill material until it can be infiltrated. In a variation of this design, the stormwater is collected by an underdrain pipe after the stormwater has been detained and filtered by the trench. Infiltration trenches can be used in median strips or adjacent to parking lots.




Figure 12.17 Infiltration Trench With Observation Well (after Schueler, 1987)




Figure 12.18 Infiltration Trench (after Reference 11)

12.12.3 Infiltration Basin

An infiltration basin looks very similar to a dry pond; see Figure 12.19. Stormwater from smaller, more frequent storms is infiltrated through the bottom of the basin. Larger storms can be controlled through infiltration and/or by a “peak-shaving” outlet. The most important consideration for an infiltration basin is keeping the bottom from clogging with sediment. The clogging of basins, along with the overestimating of their infiltration rates, has led to the failure of many infiltration basins.




Figure 12.19 Infiltration Basin (after Reference (11))

12.12.4 Porous Pavement

Porous pavement is an infiltration practice in which a stone “reservoir” is placed under a layer of open-graded asphalt pavement course that contains no fines, yielding a pavement with approximately 16% voids that allows water to infiltrate. Under the asphalt is a stone reservoir that stores stormwater. This type of facility is not to be confused with an open-graded bituminous concrete surface course used to reduce water filming on highway surfaces. Figure 12.20 shows a cross section of a typical design. Porous pavement is generally not recommended for highway uses but is more appropriate for parking lots and other low-traffic areas. There have also been structural problems and clogging problems in some applications. Because the stone reservoir is located under the asphalt layer, maintenance can be difficult and costly. Winter salts and other abrasives should not be applied to the facility because they may cause clogging. Vacuuming on a regular basis is recommended.




Figure 12.20 Porous Pavement (After Reference 5)

12.12.5 Vegetative Control


Vegetative controls can be used to reduce the size and cost of structural water quality controls. Their use in conjunction with structural controls to reduce the size of a project and improve its controlling of runoff quantity and quality is encouraged. Often, vegetative systems are the only feasible effective runoff treatment measure available for use prior to release of stormwater to a wetland system.

Filter Strip

A filter strip is a vegetated area that is designed to accept sheet flow. While flowing over the strip, stormwater is filtered by the vegetation, infiltrated and detained. The most common cause for failure of filter strips is runoff bypassing the strip through eroded channels. If the stormwater is not evenly distributed over the entire strip, a channel could form and the strip would lose effectiveness. To prevent the channelization, a level spreader can be used, as shown in Figure 12.21. Filter strips can be used to filter runoff before it enters a structural facility, or they could be used alone. A study by Yu, et al. (20)

found that the level spreader was at least as cost effective as a wet pond for pollutant removal in stormwater. However, its use for quantity control is limited to small drainage areas, with small increases in peak flows.

Filter strips should be constructed of dense, soil-binding, deep-rooted, water-resistant plants. They are usually constructed of grass, but forested strips are also feasible (they can have higher pollutant removal rates but should be longer because of their lack of cover and susceptibility to erosion). For the filter strips to be effective, their slope should be no more than 5%, and their length should be at least 20 ft. Figure 12.22 was developed by Wong and McCuen (16) for determining the required length of a grassed filter strip. If the slope of the strip, roughness coefficient (Manning's n) and desired trap efficiency are known, the length required can be found by using Figure 12.22.



PLAN VIEW
Figure 12.21 Level Spreader System




Figure 12.22 Removal Rates (R_R) For Buffer Strips (after Reference 16)

As previously stated, the use of a level spreader is intended to spread runoff evenly and prevent the formation of channels in the filter strip. Several designs have been developed; the main consideration is that the overflow from the level spreader be distributed equally across the filter strip. This can be done through the use of a rock-filled trench or a plastic-lined trench that acts as a small detention pond. The bottom and filter-side lip should have a zero slope to ensure an even distribution of runoff onto the strip. Figure 12-21 depicts a level spreader.

Grassed Swale

Grassed swales are roadside stormwater conveyances that can store, filter and infiltrate runoff. Originally, they were an inexpensive way of rapidly transporting runoff from a site. In contrast, runoff should be slowed down and detained for SWM purposes.

Some studies have been conducted on the use of swales for runoff quality control, and a wide variety of estimates of their effectiveness have been reported. From these studies, design guidelines have been developed for constructing swales so that the pollutant removal efficiency is improved.

The pollutant removal efficiency of a swale can be improved through enhancing filtering by grass in the channel. To enhance grass filtering, the swale should be designed as a triangle, with at least 1V:3H side slopes, or a parabola, with a 6:1 top width-to-depth ratio. The grass in the swale should be dense, deep rooted and water tolerant. The grass should be high enough to cover the depth of runoff in the swale but not so high that it is flattened by the flowing stormwater.

Wetlands

Wetlands have the ability to remove many pollutants, and wetlands detain stormwater. However, the processes that occur in wetlands are not fully understood, and the amount of wetland area required to treat stormwater can be very large. It has been recommended that wetlands and marshes be used in conjunction with other BMPs, such as on the bottom of dry ponds and on the fringes of wet ponds. Although a substantial amount of information is available on using wetlands as a final treatment process of wastewater, very little is known on using wetlands for treating stormwater. A report by Marble (7) provided guidelines for designing replacement wetlands. With regard to using wetlands for SWM, Marble reported that urban runoff is a good source of nutrients for the development of wetlands and that wetlands downstream of an impoundment may have reduced aquatic diversity because of reductions in the outflow detritus. Marble further stated that wetlands have the ability to remove sediments and toxins through sedimentation. However, the loadings of toxins and sediments should be low to moderate, and the ratio of wetland area to watershed area should be kept high. The functions of wetlands with regard to water quality are very complex. Hemond and Benoit (6) noted the following:

The wetland is not a simple filter; it embodies chemical, physical and biotic processes that can detain, transform, release, or produce a wide variety of substances. Because wetland water quality functions result from the operation of many individual, distinct and quite dissimilar mechanisms, it is necessary to consider the nature of each individual process.

The very limited number of studies undertaken on the use of wetlands for SWMs indicate a wide disparity in the efficiency of wetlands to remove pollutants. A study by Martin (8) suggested that wetlands, when used in conjunction with another BMP (e.g., a wet detention pond) can be quite effective in treating highway stormwater runoff. Because State DOTs are required to replace wetlands on a routine basis, the idea of using these constructed wetlands for SWMs appears to be a prudent one. However, more field test and monitoring data need to be collected and analyzed before appropriate design guidelines can be developed.

12.13 RETENTION STORAGE FACILITIES

12.13.1 Introduction

The use of retention storage facilities that have a permanent pool (wet ponds) is often discouraged because of the extensive maintenance that is sometimes required. Provisions for weed control and aeration for prevention of anaerobic conditions shall be considered. Also, facilities should not be built that have the potential for becoming nuisances or health hazards. Note that wet ponds are required where water quality problems are to be addressed.

12.13.2 Water Budget

Water budget calculations are required for all permanent pool facilities and should consider performance for average annual conditions. The water budget should consider all significant inflows and outflows including, but not limited to, rainfall, runoff, infiltration, exfiltration, evaporation and outflow.

Average annual runoff may be computed using a weighted runoff coefficient for the tributary drainage area multiplied by the average annual rainfall volume. Infiltration and exfiltration should be based on site-specific soils testing data. Evaporation may be approximated using the mean monthly pan evaporation or free-water surface evaporation data.

12.14 CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE CONSIDERATIONS

12.14.1 General

An important step in the design process is identifying whether special provisions are warranted to properly construct or maintain proposed storage facilities. To assure acceptable performance and function, storage facilities that require extensive maintenance are discouraged. The following maintenance problems are typical with urban detention facilities, and facilities shall be designed to minimize problems:

- Weed growth,
- Grass and vegetation maintenance,
- Sedimentation control,
- Bank deterioration,
- Standing water or soggy surfaces,
- Mosquito control,
- Blockage of outlet structures,
- Litter accumulation, and
- Maintenance of fences and perimeter plantings.

Proper design should focus on the elimination or reduction of maintenance requirements by addressing the potential for problems to develop:

- Both weed growth and grass maintenance may be addressed by constructing side slopes that can be maintained using available power-driven equipment (e.g., tractor mowers).
- Sedimentation may be controlled by constructing traps to contain sediment for easy removal or low-flow channels to reduce erosion and sediment transport.

- Bank deterioration can be controlled with protective lining or by limiting bank slopes.
- Standing water or soggy surfaces may be eliminated by sloping basin bottoms toward the outlet, constructing low-flow pilot channels across basin bottoms from the inlet to the outlet, or constructing underdrain facilities to lower water tables.
- In general, when the above problems are addressed, mosquito control will not be a major problem.
- Outlet structures should be selected to minimize the possibility of blockage (i.e., very small pipes tend to block quite easily and should be avoided).
- Finally, one way to address the maintenance associated with litter and damage to fences and perimeter plantings is to locate the facility for easy access where maintenance can be conducted on a regular basis.

12.14.2 Sediment Basins

Often detention facilities are used as temporary sediment basins. To control the maintenance of these facilities, some criteria must be established to determine when these facilities should be cleaned and how much of the available storage can be used for sediment storage.

12.15 PROTECTIVE TREATMENT

Protective treatment may be required to prevent entry to facilities that present a hazard to children and, to a lesser extent, all persons. Fences may be required for detention areas where one or more of the following conditions exist:

- Rapid-stage increases would make escape practically impossible where small children frequent the area.
- Water depths either exceed 2.5 ft for more than 24 h or are permanently wet and have side slopes steeper than 1V:4H.
- A low-flow watercourse or ditch passing through the detention area has a depth greater than 3 ft or a flow velocity greater than 3 ft/s.
- Side slopes equal or exceed 1V:2H.

Guards or grates may be appropriate for other conditions but, in all circumstances, heavy debris must be transported through the detention area. In some cases, it may be advisable to fence the watercourse or ditch rather than the detention area.

Fencing should be considered for dry retention areas with design depths in excess of 3 ft for 24 h, unless the area is within a fenced, limited-access facility.

REFERENCES

- Brater, E.F. and King, H.W., "*Handbook of Hydraulics*," 6th ed., McGraw Hill Book Company, 1976.
- Brune, Gunnar M., "*Trap Efficiency of Reservoirs*," Transactions of American Geophysical Union, Vol. 34, No. 3, June 1953.
- Chow, C.N., "*Open Channel Hydraulics*," New York: McGraw Hill Book Company, 1959
- Federal Highway Administration, "*Design of Urban Highway Drainage*," FHWA-TS-79-225, 1979.
- Federal Highway Administration, "*Stormwater Best Management Practices in an Ultra-Urban Setting: Selection and Monitoring*," FHWA-EP-00-002, May 2000.
- Hemond, H.F. and Benoit, J., "*Cumulative Impacts on Water Quality Functions of Wetlands*," Environmental Management, Vol. 12 (5), 1988.
- Marble, A.D., "*A Guide to Functional Wetland Design*," FHWA-IP-90-010, 1990.
- Martin, E.H. and Smoot, J.L., "*Constituent-Load Changes in Urban Stormwater Runoff Routed Through a Detention Pond-Wetlands System in Central Florida*," USGS WRI Report 85-4310, Tallahassee, FL, 1985.
- McBride, M.C. and Sternberg, Y.M., "*Stormwater Management Infiltration Structures*," FHWA-MS-83-04. Baltimore, MD, 1983.
- Sandvik, A., "*Proportional Weirs for Stormwater Pond Outlets*," Civil Engineering, American Society of Civil Engineers, pp. 54-56, March 1985.
- Schueler, T.R., "*Controlling Urban Runoff: A Practical Manual for Planning and Designing Urban BMPs*," Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, Washington, DC, 1987.
- Sowers, G.B. and Sowers, G.F., "*Introductory Soil Mechanics and Foundations*," 3rd ed., New York: MacMillan Publishing Company, 1970.
- Spangler, M.G. and Handy, R. L., "*Soil Engineering*," 4th ed., New York: Harper & Row, 1982.
- The EDGE Group, Inc., and CH2M Hill, "*Stormwater Management Manual — Volume 2 Procedures*," Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, July 1988.
- US Bureau of Reclamation, Flood Routing Chapter 6.10 of Part 6, "*Flood Hydrology*," Vol. IV "Water Studies," *USBR Manual*, December 30, 1947.
- Wong, S.L. and R.H., McCuen, "*The Design of Vegetative Buffer Strips for Runoff and Sediment Control in Stormwater Management in Coastal Areas*," Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, 1982.

Wycuff, R.L. and Singh, U. P., “*Preliminary Hydrologic Design of Small Flood Detention Reservoirs,*” Water Resources Bulletin, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 337-49, 1976.

Yim, C.S. and Sternberg, U.M., “*Development and Testing of Granular Filter Design Criteria For Stormwater Management Infiltration Structures,*” Maryland Department of Transportation, Baltimore, 1987.

Yousef, Y.A., Wanielista, M.P. and Harper, H.H., “*Removal of Highway Contaminants by Roadside Swales,*” Transportation Research Record 1017, pp. 62-68, 1985.

Yu, S.L., Norris, W.K. and Wyant, D.C., “*Urban BMP Demonstration Project of the Albemarle/Charlottesville Area,*” Report No. UDA/530358/CZ88/102, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, 1987.