

FINAL RFP – ADDENDUM NO. 2

CHANGES TO INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS

2.2.1 Submission of Proposals

Delete the first sentence of the second paragraph and substitute the following:

The Proposer shall submit the Proposal in sealed containers which contain the original and duplicate sets as identified in Section 3.1 Format and Quantities.

4.5.3 Best and Final Offers

Delete the first paragraph and substitute the following:

If two Proposals are submitted with the Upset Price/Guaranteed Maximum Price Form J signed, CDOT does not intend to request BAFOs, but reserves the right to do so. If one or no Proposal is submitted with the Proposal Price Form J signed, CDOT may request BAFOs.

5.12.1 Format of Escrowed Proposal Documents

Delete the subsection text and substitute the following:

The EPDs shall contain information regarding assumptions made by the Proposer in developing Forms J and K in its Proposal. The Proposer shall submit EPDs in the same format it used in preparing its Proposal.

5.12.2 Review of Escrowed Proposal Documents

Delete the first sentence of the first paragraph and substitute the following:

All Proposers will deliver EPDs, marked confidential, to CDOT as identified in Section 1.11 Procurement Schedule.

6.0 CONTRACT EXECUTION

Revise as follows:

3. *Change Form J to Form N*
4. *Change Form K to Form O*

CHANGES TO BOOK 2 – TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

SECTION 11 - EARTHWORK

11.1.2.1 Materials Requirements

Delete the first paragraph and substitute the following:

Embankment material shall meet all requirements of Book 2, Section 19 (Section 203 - Embankment). The embankment material shall have water-soluble sulfate levels less than 2%.

SH-128 (120th Avenue Connection)

Delete the first sentence of the second paragraph and substitute the following:

In embankment areas supporting the roadway shoulders and pavement structure, and in the zone delineated by a 1:1 slope as defined in Book 2, Section 19 (Section 203 - Embankment), the A-2-4 material shall be underlain by 6 feet of non-swelling material with a plasticity index less than or equal to 30 and an R-value of 10 or greater.

11.1.2.2 Compaction Requirements

Delete the second sentence and substitute the following:

Unless otherwise specified, all other compaction for the Project shall be per the requirements stated in Book 2, Section 19 (Section 203 - Embankment).

11.1.4 Reuse of Materials

Revise the subsection title as follows:

11.1.3 Reuse of Materials

SECTION 13 – ROADWAYS

13.3.1.2 Roadways

Delete the second paragraph and substitute the following:

The Contractor shall remove abandoned portions of the frontage road between the 120th Avenue Connection and the RTD Park-n-Ride, smooth to blend into adjacent terrain, and topsoil and seed. Leave the existing roadway berm in place as necessary to control drainage at the existing culvert crossing of US-36.

13.3.4 Fencing

Delete the subsection content and substitute the following:

13.3.4.1 Temporary Fencing

Temporary fencing is not required by any right-of-way acquisition agreements to protect adjacent private property, but shall be placed to control construction operations and avoid impacts beyond easement limits. Temporary fence shall be placed to protect sensitive environmental areas as defined in Book 2, Section 5.

13.3.4.2 Permanent Fencing

Provide permanent fencing of the types and at locations as follows:

Location	Type	Remarks
Station 94+50 to 96+50, Lt	Chain Link	Provide chain link fence and gate on new ROW line similar to existing.

SECTION 15 – STRUCTURES

15.2.3.5 Maintenance Plan

Delete the last sentence of the paragraph

15.2.7.2 Structural Concept Report Elements

Delete subsection 1. Life Cycle Cost Analysis and substitute the following:

1. Life Cycle Cost Analysis. (deleted)

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

The following are responses to written Requests for Information received to date:

RFI No.	REQUEST	RESPONSE
1	Instructions to Proposers, Section 2.2.2.3 states that the ranking of the proposal will be determined by best-value in accordance with a defined process. Section 4.4 of the Final RFP defines the Best Value Determination but does not define the process. Will the proposal technical evaluation be performed prior to reviewing the proposer's price?	Section 4.3 defines the evaluation and scoring of the technical proposal, which (as described in 4.4), in combination with the price factor, will be used to determine best value. Technical evaluations will be completed independent of and prior to reviewing the price.

2	Book 2, Section 8.1.2 - Will CDOT provide the Permanent and Temporary Easement agreements to the successful proposer?	Easements agreements will be provided when available.
3	Book 2, Section 10.1.1 states that groundwater was encountered during the construction of the intersection at SH-128 and SH-121 (Wadsworth Parkway). Can CDOT provide additional information as to the magnitude of water infiltration at that project site?	Groundwater was encountered during construction at the eastern edge of mainline Wadsworth. A burrito drain along this edge was installed which drains into the pond north of the RTD access road.
4	Book 2, Section 13.3.4.2 of the Final RFP states that permanent fencing is to be provided in accordance with the table. Will the permanent fencing locations be provided prior to the proposal due-date?	Fencing requirements are clarified in Addendum #2.
5	Book 1, Section 3.2 States AREs included in the contractor's proposal are part of the basic configuration. Book 2, Section 1.2.2 States Final design plans for roadway and drainage within the limits of AREs 1 and 2 shall be prepared whether or not AREs are included in the basic configuration. Do AREs need to be designed if they are not included in the basic configuration? If so, to what extent does the design need to be developed and would that include striping, signage, turf establishment, traffic control plans, etc.?	Final design plans for non-constructed portions of AREs 1 and 2 only need to include roadway and drainage features (alignment, profiles, grading and cross-sections) to demonstrate that utility relocations are constructed in proper locations and downstream drainage features are designed to accommodate the upstream configuration.
6	In Instructions to Proposers 4.5.3, did CDOT intend to indicate "Form J" (Guaranteed Maximum Price Allocation Form) rather than "Form F" (Certification Regarding Use of Contract Funds for Lobbying)?	Revised per Addendum #2.
7	In Instructions to Proposers 5.12.1, did CDOT intend to indicate "Forms J and K" rather than "Forms F and G" ?	Revised per Addendum #2.
8	In Instructions to Proposers 5.12.2, it states that "All proposers will deliver EPDs, marked confidential, to CDOT at the time they deliver their proposal." Did CDOT intend to say " 3 days after proposal submission" rather than "at the time they deliver" ? Please clarify.	Revised per Addendum #2.
9	In Instructions to Proposers, are Forms N and O (Performance and Payment Bond forms) to be submitted blank with our proposal, and then executed only by the successful proposer after award ?	Yes. Only the successful proposer needs to submit payment and performance bonds per Section 6.0
10	We cannot find reference for bid bond requirements except in ITP 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, and 6.0, where CDOT can claim the proposal bond, we will forfeit the proposal bond, or be considered non-responsive if a proposal bond is not provided. It is our assumption that CDOT desires a bid bond. Please clarify this portion of the ITP and provide direction on where it should be included within the proposal submittal and how many copies are required.	A form for the proposal bond will be provided in a future addendum. Form and amount will be similar to Standard Form 24.

11	In Instructions To Proposers Section 3.7.2 it states "The Proposer shall submit ... Executed Subcontracts with sub-consultants who the Contractors will use to meet the DBE Goal for the project... ." In addition, Book 1, Section 7.2.1 states that "Executed DBE subcontracts must be submitted with the proposal for DBE participation to count". We will not enter subcontract agreements unless we have are awarded the contract by CDOT. We request that these requirements be changed to read that "Teaming Agreements" or "Letters of Intent signed by both parties" be submitted to confirm DBE commitment to these sub-consultants.	Revised per Addendum #1
12	In Instructions To Proposers, Section 3.7.2, it states that a copy of Form 715 must be attached to Form D in our proposal submission. Form 715 was not included in the RFP information provided.	Provided per Addendum #1
13	In Instructions To Proposers, Form J, the F/A allowance for Utilities / Power source was deleted from what was shown on Form J on the Draft RFP. Please confirm that no allowance should be included in the proposal for F/A Utilities / Power.	No allowance should be included in proposal. The Contractor will be required to coordinate with Xcel and pay Xcel for power sources. CDOT will then reimburse the Contractor by Change Order.
14	In reference to Book 1, Sections 8.1 and 8.2, can a warranty bond be provided in lieu of holding a \$32M bond for one year after acceptance ?	Determination on substitution of a warranty bond will not be made until substantial completion of the project.
15	Instructions to Proposers, Section 3.1, states that "Proposer shall submit hard copies of the proposal as follows: Volume I - 12 copies, Volume II - 4 copies, Volume III - 12 copies, Volume IV - 4 copies, Volume V - 4 copies, Volume VI - 4 copies". In Instructions To Proposers Section 2.2.1 it states the proposal shall be in sealed containers which contain the original and 12 duplicate sets. Does CDOT want one original and 12 copies, or the quantity as described in Section 3.1 ?	Revised per Addendum #2
16	There are no UIS provisions included in Section 8, Book 2, to relocate the overhead electric distribution lines along Allison St. There appear to be conflicts with these overhead lines where Commerce St. ties into Allison, and where 120th Ave. crosses over the abandoned portion of Allison St. Please clarify how we are to address these potential utility conflicts.	UA and UIS information for Xcel for all locations is in process and will be provided when available.
17	In regard to potential signing changes, will the remaining portion of Allison St. (to the south and east of Commerce St.) be renamed Commerce St. if ARE # 2 is constructed ?	Broomfield will make any signing modifications required on existing Allison St.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2