C-470 Express Lanes Feasibility Study
Final Report

June 2005

Submitted To:

CDOT Region 6
2000 S. Holly
Denver, CO 80222

Submitted By:

PBS&J
4601 DTC Boulevard, Suite 700
Denver, CO 80237

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ES.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ES-1
ES.1 BACKGROUND ES-1
ES.2 STUDY PROCESS ES-1
ES.3 SCREENING PROCESS ES-2
ES.4 CONCLUSION ES-4
1.0 INTRODUCTION 1-1
1.1 C-470 CORRIDOR HISTORY 1-1
1.2 C-470 CORRIDOR NEEDS 1-3
2.0 METHODOLOGY 2-1
2.1 C-470 STUDY AREA 2-1
2.2 STUDY GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 2-1
2.3 STUDY APPROACH 2-1
2.3.1 C-470 Corridor Environmental Assessment 2-3
2.3.2 Value Engineering (VE) Study 2-4
3.0 BACKGROUND 3-1
3.1 COLORADO TOLLING ENTERPRISE 3-1
3.2 MANAGED LANES 3-2
3.2.1 Value Pricing Lanes 3-2
3.2.2 Value Pricing Pilot Program (VPPP) 3-3
3.2.3 Value Pricing Corridors Currently in Operation around the Country 3-4
3.3 PUBLIC PRIVATE INITIATIVE 3-6
4.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS 4-1
4.1 HIGHWAY GEOMETRIC FEATURES 4-1
4.1.1 Typical Section 4-1
4.1.2 Horizontal Alignment 4-1
4.1.3 Vertical Alignment 4-1
4.1.4 Interchange and Ramp Configurations 4-2
4.1.5 Pavement Conditions 4-2
4.1.6 Structure Conditions 4-3
4.1.7 Santa Fe Drive over C-470 4-3
4.1.8 C-470 over the Platte River 4-3
4.1.9 Planned Transportation Improvements 4-3
4.2 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS 4-5
4.2.1 Existing Average Daily Traffic Volumes 4-5
4.2.2 Hourly Distribution 4-9
4.2.3 Vehicle Classification 4-9
4.2.4 Travel Time Observations 4-10
4.2.5 Levels of Service/Densities 4-11
4.2.6 Congestion/Queue Observations 4-12
4.2.7 Speed Profiles 4-12
4.2.8 Safety 4-14

5.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT 5-1
5.1 OVERVIEW 5-1
5.1.1 Project Management Team 5-1
5.1.2 Techncial Working Group 5-1
5.1.3 Executive Working Group 5-2
5.1.4 Open Houses/Workshops 5-2
5.1.5 Newsletters 5-2
5.1.6 Small Group Meetings 5-2
5.1.7 Project Website 5-3
5.1.8 Agency Coordination/Project Stakeholders 5-3
5.1.9 Public Acceptance 5-4
5.2 C-470 FOCUS GROUPS 5-4
5.3 STATED PREFERENCE SURVEY 5-5
5.3.1 Toll Sensitivity Curves 5-6
5.3.2 Value of Time Calculations 5-8
6.0 FUTURE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS 6-1
6.1.1 Average Annual Daily Traffic 6-1
6.1.2 Peak Hours 6-2
6.1.3 Travel Time Observations 6-2
6.1.4 Levels of Service/Densities 6-2
6.2 TRAFFIC MODELING 6-10
6.2.1 Initial Toll Diversion Forecasts Using Travel Demand Model 6-10
6.2.2 Forecasts and Traffic Operations Using Micro-simulation Model 6-10
6.2.3 Calibration of AIMSUN Model 6-12
7.0 PROJECT ELEMENTS 7-1
7.1 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS 7-1
7.1.1 Design Criteria 7-1
7.1.2 Key Design Issues 7-5
7.1.3 Methods of Separation 7-5
7.1.4 Buffer Separation 7-7
7.1.5 Tubular Marker Posts 7-7
7.1.6 Curb and Marker 7-7
7.1.7 Concrete Barrier 7-8
7.1.8 Selection of Final Typical Section 7-8
7.1.9 Access Types 7-11
7.1.10 Toll Collection Scheme 7-12
7.1.11 Signing 7-16
7.1.12 Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) 7-18
7.2 COST ESTIMATES 7-19
7.2.1 Roadway Capital Costs 7-19
7.2.2 Toll Equipment Capital Costs 7-20
7.2.3 Operations Costs 7-21
7.2.4 Maintenance Costs 7-22

7.3 FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS 7-23
7.3.1 Debt Financing Considerations 7-23
7.3.2 Determination of Toll Structure 7-24
7.3.3 Revenue and Feasibility Calculations 7-25
8.0 ALTERNATIVES SCREENING 8-1
8.1 STEP 1. CORRIDOR CAPACITY ANALYSIS 8-1
8.1.1 Existing Conditions Analysis 8-5
8.1.2 2025 Conditions Analysis 8-5
8.1.3 Cursory Feasibility Assessment of I-70 to Morrison Road 8-6
8.1.4 Four-lane Barrier Separated Concept 8-6
8.1.5 Reversible Express Lane Concept 8-7
8.1.6 Single Express Lane Concept 8-8
8.1.7 Conclusions of the I-70 to Kipling Parkway Analysis 8-8
8.1.8 Phasing Plan for Kipling Parkway to I-70 8-8
8.2 STEP 2. PRELIMINARY SCREENING OF ACCESS LOCATIONS 8-8
8.3 STEP 3. QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF ACCESS LOCATIONS 8-9
8.4 STEP 4. QUANTITATIVE SCREENING OF ACCESS LOCATIONS 8-10
8.4.1 Access Alternatives 8-11
8.4.2 Direct Access 8-15
8.4.3 Fourth Level Screening Criteria 8-15
8.4.4 Projected Traffic Volumes 8-15
8.4.5 Interchange Reserve Capacity 8-16
8.4.6 Geometric Constraints 8-16
8.4.7 Express Lane and General Purpose Lane Operations 8-17
8.4.8 Access Spacing 8-17
8.4.9 Financial Feasibility 8-17
8.4.10 Selection of Final Access Configuration 8-18
9.0 OPTIMIZATION OF FINAL ALTERNATIVE 9-1
9.1 ACCESS LOCATION REFINEMENT 9-1
9.1.1 I-25 Interchange 9-1
9.1.2 Quebec Street 9-3
9.1.3 Colorado Boulevard 9-3
9.1.4 Lucent Boulevard 9-4
9.1.5 Wadsworth Boulevard 9-4
9.1.6 Final Access Alternative 9-5
9.2 FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY OF FINAL CONFIGURATION 9-8
9.2.1 Toll Rate Optimization 9-8
9.2.2 Roadway Design Capital Cost Estimates 9-9
9.2.3 Toll Collection Fees 9-10
9.2.4 Financial Feasibility of Final Configuration 9-10
9.3 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS OF LOCAL ARTERIAL NETWORK 9-17
9.4 ROW REQUIREMENTS 9-18
9.5 HIGH-OCCUPANCY VECHICLE COMPONENT 9-19

9.6 TRANSIT COMPONENT 9-20
10.0 FUNDING PLAN 10-1
10.1 STRATEGIES TO LEVERAGE TOLL REVENUES 10-1
10.2 SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING 10-2
10.2.1 Metropolitan Planning Organization Process 10-3
10.2.2 TABOR 10-3
11.0 CONCLUSIONS 11-1
11.1 PHASING PLAN 11-1
11.2 IMPLEMENTATION STEPS 11-4
11.2.1 Carry Express Lanes Alternative Forward into EA 11-4
11.2.2 Complete Funding Package 11-4
11.2.3 Amend RTP to Include Express Lanes Alternative 11-5
11.2.4 Issue Design Build Contract 11-5
11.2.5 Traffic & Revenue Study 11-5
11.2.6 Bonding 11-5
11.2.7 Construction 11-5

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ES.1 Proposed/Access Configuration ES-4
Figure ES.2 Proposed/Phasing Plan ES-7
Figure 1.1 Vicinity Map 1-2
Figure 2.1 Study Area 2-2
Figure 4.1 Existing Freeway Volume (View 1) 4-6
Figure 4.2 Existing Freeway Volume (View 2) 4-7
Figure 5.1 Time Saved Per Mile as Function of Cost Per Mile 5-7
Figure 5.2 Cost Per Mile as Function of Time Saved Per Mile 5-8
Figure 6.1 Projected AM Peak Hour Volumes 6-3
Figure 6.2 Projected PM Peak Hour Volumes 6-4
Figure 6.3 PM Peak Hour Travel Times 6-5
Figure 7.1 Methods of Separation 7-6
Figure 7.2 Typical Section 7-10
Figure 7.3 Access Types 7-11
Figure 7.4 Typical Toll Collection Zone 7-14
Figure 7.5 Toll Collection Scheme 7-15
Figure 7.6 Conceptual Signing Plan 7-17
Figure 7.7 Example Dynamic Message Sign Sequence 7-19
Figure 8.1 C-470 Corridor Map 8-2
Figure 8.2 V/C Comparison – Existing (2003) 8-3
Figure 8.3 V/C Comparison – Projected (2025) 8-4
Figure 8.4 Access Configuration for Alternatives 1 through 10 8-13
Figure 9.1 I-25/C-470 Interchange 9-3
Figure 9.2 Projected AM Peak Hour Volumes Final Configuration 9-6

Figure 9.3 Projected PM Peak Hour Volumes Final Configuration 9-7
Figure 9.4 Proposed Typical Section 9-11
Figure 11.1 Proposed Final Alternative 11-2
Figure 11.2 Potential Phasing Plan 11-3

LIST OF TABLES

Table ES.1 Summary of Financial Feasibility Analysis ES-5
Table 4.1 Existing Hourly Distribution 4-9
Table 4.2 Heavy Vehicle Volumes along C-470 4-9
Table 4.3 Summary of AM Travel Time Observations (sec) 4-10
Table 4.4 Summary of PM Travel Time Observations (sec) 4-11
Table 4.5 AM/PM Peak Hour C-470 Freeway Segment LOS/Density Summary 4-12
Table 4.6 Summary of AM Average Speed (mph) 4-13
Table 4.7 Summary of PM Average Speed (mph) 4-13
Table 5.1 Value of Time Summary Table 5-9
Table 5.2 Value of Time Calculation for Corridor Users 5-11
Table 6.1 AM/PM Peak Hour Intersection Delay and Levels of Service 6-6
Table 6.2 AM Peak Hour Freeway Levels of Service/Density 6-8
Table 6.3 PM Peak Hour Freeway Levels of Service/Density 6-9
Table 7.1 Roadway Design Criteria 7-3
Table 7.2 Roadway Capital Cost Unit Prices 7-20
Table 7.3 Toll Equipment Capital Costs 7-21
Table 7.4 C-470 Express Lane Operations Costs 7-22
Table 7.5 C-470 Express Lane Maintenance Costs 7-23
Table 7.6 Toll Rate Structure 7-25
Table 8.1 Preliminary Access Location Screening 8-9
Table 8.2 Qualitative Access Location Screening 8-10
Table 8.3 Fourth Level Screening Summary 8-19
Table 9.1 Toll Schedule Final Configuration 9-9
Table 9.2 Financial Feasibility Analysis with 5.5% Bond Rate and 1.75 Senior Lien/ 2.19 Subordinate Lien 9-13
Table 9.3 Financial Feasibility Analysis with 6.0% Bonding Rate and 1.75 Senior Lien/ 2.19 Subordinate Lien 9-14
Table 9.4 Financial Feasibility Analysis with 5.5% Bonding Rate and 1.75 Senior Lien/ 2.99 Subordinate Lien 9-15
Table 9.5 Financial Feasibility Analysis with 6.0% Bonding Rate and 1.75 Senior Lien/ 2.99 Subordinate Lien 9-16
Table 10.1 Toll Revenue Leveraging Techniques 10-2

APPENDICES

Appendix A CDOT Draft Traffic Safety Chapter
Appendix B C-470 Focus Groups Executive Summary
Appendix C Stated Preference Survey Executive Summary
Appendix D I-25/C-470 Conceptual Signing Layout
Appendix E I-25/C-470 Interchange Design Alternatives
Appendix F Colorado Boulevard Interchange Analysis
Appendix G Roadway Cost Estimate for Final Alternative
Appendix H Operational Analysis of the C-470 Ramp Complex

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

A

AADT	Average Annual Daily Traffic
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ADT	Average Daily Traffic
AVI	Automated Vehicle Identification

C

CDOT	Colorado Department of Transportation
CDOW	Colorado Division of Wildlife
CIP	Capital Improvement Plan
CTC	Colorado Transportation Commission
CTE	Colorado Tolling Enterprise

D

DMS	Dynamic Message Sign
DOT	Department of Transportation
DRCOG	Denver Regional Council of Governments
DTC	Denver Tech Center

E

EA	Environmental Assessment
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EL	Express Lane
ELFS	Express Lane Feasibility Study
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ETL	Express Toll Lane
EWG	Executive Working Group

F

FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FTA	Federal Transit Administration

G

GPL	General Purpose Lane

H

HCM	Highway Capacity Manual
HCS	Highway Capacity Software
HOA	Home Owners Association

I

ITS	Intelligent Transportation System
LOS	Level of Service

M

MPH	Miles Per Hour

N

NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act

O	
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
P	
PMT	Project Management Team
R	
ROW	Right-of-Way
RTD	Regional Transportation District
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
S	
SH	State Highway
STIP	Statewide Transportation Improvement Program
T	
T&R	Traffic and Revenue
TABOR	Tax Payers Bill Of Rights
TAZ	Traffic Analysis Zone
TEA-21	Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century
TMC	Traffic Management Center
TWG	Technical Working Group
TxDOT	Texas Department of Transportation
U	
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USBOC	U.S. Census Bureau
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
V	
V/C	Volume to Capacity Ratio
VE	Value Engineering
VMT	Vehicle Miles Traveled
VPPP	Value Pricing Pilot Program